

## ABSTRACT

EDUCATION (SE:VIG) DEPARTMENT

dated 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2015.  
Read the following:

1. Proceedings Rc. No. 2429/C2-2/2002, dated 23.10.2006 of the DSE, Hyderabad.
2. Written statement of defence of Sri C. Murali Krishna Reddy, Dy. EO, dated 23.11.2006.
3. Proceedings Rc. No. 2429/C2-2/2002, dated 28.04.2012 of the C&DSE, Hyderabad.
4. From the RJDSE, Kadapa, Lr. Rc. No. 1307/A4/B2/2004, dated 15.08.2012.
5. Proceedings Rc. No. 2429/C2-3/2003, dated 16.02.2013 of the C&DSE, Hyderabad.
6. From Sri C. Murali Krishna Reddy, Dy. EO (Rtd.), Puttur, written statement, dated Nil, received on 12.04.2013.
7. Govt. Memo. No. 29839/SE:Vig.I.2/2012, dated 12.11.2014.
8. From Sri C. Murali Krishna Reddy, Dy. EO (Rtd.), Puttur, representation dated 25.11.2014.
9. Govt. Lr. No. 29839/SE:Vig.I(2)/2012-6, dated 16.12.2014.
10. From the Secretary, APPSC, Hyderabad, Lr. No. 33/RT/2/2015, dated 12.01.2015.

\*\*\*

Departmental Proceedings were initiated against Sri C. Murali Krishna Reddy, former MEO, Vedurukuppam and Dy. EO (Rtd), Puttur, Chittoor Dist., under Rule 20 of APCS (CCA) Rules, 1991 in the ref. 1<sup>st</sup> read above and the following article of charges were framed against him.

*"That the said Sri C. Murali Krishna Reddy, former MEO, Vedurukuppam and present Dy. Educational Officer, Puttur, Chittoor Dist. while working as MEO, he has failed to supervise the salary bills of Sri T. Rajasekhara Reddy, SGT and Smt. B. Aruna for the months of October, 2001 and November 2001, ultimately which lead to irregular drawn an excess amount of Rs. 40,000/- by the said teachers. If he had verified properly, the incident could not have taken place. It is clear evident that he has shown negligence to his duties which attracts rule 3 of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964."*

2. The individual in his explanation 2nd read above has denied the charges and requested to drop further action.
3. The Enquiry Officer who was appointed to inquire into the charges has submitted the enquiry report, vide ref. 4<sup>th</sup> read above. The Enquiry Officer in his report has stated that the charge is held proved.
4. On communication of the Enquiry report, the individual has submitted his written explanation on the findings of the enquiry Officer, vide ref. 6<sup>th</sup> read above.

.....2.

5. After careful examination of the matter, Govt. have issued a show cause notice to Sri C. Murali Krishna Reddy, Dy. EO (Rtd.) to show cause as to why the penalty of 10% cut in pension for a period of (3) years shall not be imposed against him for the charge held proved, vide ref. 7<sup>th</sup> read above. Thereon, the individual has submitted his explanation vide ref. 8<sup>th</sup> read above.

6. Having examined the explanation of the individual, Govt. observed that the explanation of Sri C. Murali Krishna Reddy, Dy. EO (Rtd.) is not convincing and decided to impose the proposed penalty against him and requested the APPSC for their concurrence in the matter, vide ref. 9<sup>th</sup> read above. The APPSC has agreed with the proposal of the Govt., vide ref. 10<sup>th</sup> read above.

7. Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred under rule 9 of AP Revised pension Rules, 1980, Govt. hereby impose the penalty of 10% cut in pension for a period of (3) years against Sri C. Murali Krishna Reddy, former MEO, Vedurukuppam and Dy. EO (Rtd), Puttur, Chittoor Dist.

8. The Commissioner and Director of School Education, AP, Hyderabad shall take necessary action accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

R.P. SISODIA  
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To  
Sri C. Murali Krishna Reddy, former MEO, Vedurukuppam and Dy. EO (Rtd),  
Puttur, Chittoor Dist. through the C&DSE, AP, Hyderabad.  
The Commissioner and Director of School Education, AP, Hyderabad.  
Copy to:  
The Secretary, APPSC, Hyderabad.  
SF/SC.

// FORWARDED BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER